

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,621	03/01/2004	Siegfried Gronbach	S. Gronbach 2 (LCNT/12588	8832
46363 7	7590 02/17/2006		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON	N & SHERIDAN, LLP	NGUYEN, TUAN N		
LUCENT TEC	HNOLOGIES, INC			
	BURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			2828	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H.H
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/790,621	GRONBACH, SIEGFRIED	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan N. Nguyen	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	arch 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		S
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9,10 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the confidence of	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(a	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/19/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/790,621 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 102(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

2. Claims 1-6, 8, 11-16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable over O'Brien et al. (US 6560255).

With respect to claims 1, 5, 11, O'Brien et al. '255 shows and discloses an apparatus for temperature stabilization of a wavelength of a laser (Title and Abstract), comprising: a laser power supply; a laser chip assembly comprising: a laser chip; a wavelength locker comprising an etalon of the wavelength (Fig 1: 14, 18 laser module and source, 32 internal etalon wavelength locker)(Col 2: 50-63); a module controlling a temperature of the laser chip and the etalon (Col 3: 50-67 temperature control device 54 TEC or thermoelectric cooler/heater in contact with the optical subassembly 22 to control temperature of laser and the etalon, that belong in the same sub-assembly [Fig 1: 22, 32, 18]); and a sensor of a representative temperature (Col 3: 59-62-temperature of the sub-assembly [Fig 1: 44 thermistor]); and a temperature controller, comprising: a calculator of the wavelength, the calculator coupled to the wavelength locker and comprising a memory of a correction factor compensating for thermal instability of the etalon; a power supply facilitating a temperature set point of the module, and a processor coupled to the calculator and the sensor and defining a set point of the power supply (Fig 1: 12 PC temperature controller having memory of a correcting factor "control algorithm", calculating the wavelength

to compensate for the etalon [fig 1: 42 ref. P.D & 44- thermistor feedback to PC] and calculate a set point for the power supply [fig 1: 80 TEC current driver] which is the summation of the feedback and correction factor which inherently designed so no overshoot and undershoot can occurs during initial operation) (Col 1: 34 – look up table). Since claim 1 recites the same or identical elements/limitations it is inherent to use patent ('255) to recite the method of stabilization of a laser wavelength, product by process.

With respect to claims 2, 4, 12 wherein the representative temperature is a temperature selected from the group consisting of a temperature of the laser chip, temperature of the etalon, a temperature of the module, a temperature of a submount housing the laser chip and the etalon, and a temperature of a medium between the laser chip, the etalon, and the module (Col 3: 50-67; Col 4: 1-6 –temperature of sub-assembly or laser chip).

With respect to claims 3, 15, 16 wherein the correction factor is determined using a wavelength meter coupled to an output of the laser outside the laser chip assembly and the correction factor is determined prior to operating the laser in an optical transmission system [fig 1: correction factor of the PC using wavelength feedback 38, 50, or 40 that is output from the laser which is outside the laser chip sub-assembly 22](Col 1: 34 – look up table).

With respect to claims 6, 13, wherein the sensor comprises a thermistor or a thermocouple [fig 1: 44 thermistor].

With respect to claims 8, 14, wherein the etalon measures the wavelength using a method, comprising: defining of a ratio between a first electrical signal proportional to an output power of the laser at an input of the etalon and a second electrical signal proportional to the output power of the laser at an output of the etalon [fig 1: 60, 62, 64 first electrical signal to controller at the input of the etalon, and 38 is the second electrical signal at the output of the etalon].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or non-obviousness.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Brien et al. (US 6560255). O'Brien et al. '255 discloses the above, the claim further require wherein an accuracy of the external meter is equal or greater the accuracy of the internal etalon. O'Brien '255 did not

discreetly disclose the accuracy of the external meter or accuracy of the internal etalon, however, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, disclosing the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9, 10, and 17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The references of the record fail to teach or suggest apparatus and method of stabilization of a laser wavelength:

Claims 9, 17:

Comprising a laser power supply, a laser chip, an etalon wavelength locker, temperature controller, and correction factor from wavelength detector comprising – 1) measuring the etalon wavelength/ external meter wavelength/ and the representative temperature, 2) modifying the bias current of the laser chip, and adjusting the representative temperature until the external meter measures the same wavelength at the external meter, and 3) defining a difference with the representative temperature until the external meter measures the same wavelength at the external meter, then use the etalon to measure the wavelength.

Claim 10:

The laser chip and internal etalon disposed on the sub-mount, having temperature sensor and temperature control module for the chip and etalon, having a photodetector of an optical signal proportional to a laser output power at an input of the internal etalon, and a photodetector

at the output of the internal etalon comprising – measuring the internal etalon and representative temperature of the laser, where the correcting factor for the etalon is from the external meter, and the represent temperature at a set point is equal to a sum of the wavelength measured using the internal etalon and the correction factor.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1948. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30 - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harvey Minsun can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan N. Nguyen

Way Mayy

MINSUN CHU! THE